THE SUNDAY OREGONIAN

THE SUNDAY OR THE SUNDAY OR

Senate Look At CL Sure To Irritate LBJ

By DAVID KRASLOW The Los Angeles Times

WASHINGTON - A hitherto unpublicized move within the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to investigate the Central Intelligence Agency promises to precipitate an explosive dispute with President John-

Such an investigation of an agency that lives in the never-never land of spies and mysterious coups d'etat would be one of the most sensitive ever undertaken by Congress.

All moves so far have been made behind scenes.

Committee Chairman William Fulbright (D-Ark.) faiready has placed on the committee's tentative agenda for this year a study for the CIA's impact on foreign policy, it was learned. This was discussed at a closed door meeting of the committee.

CIA Director William F. Raborn has been advised informally of what is afoot by Sen. Eugene J. McCarthy (D-Minn.), a committee member and for years a committee prime advocate of closer congressional supervision of the agency's activities.

Little more than a handful in Congress are informed about the CIA in any detail. It employs thousands in Washington and around the world and the hundreds of millions it spends annually are hidden in appropriations: for other government departments.

Range Wide 🐇

Its operations have run the gamut from the routine monitoring of foreign propaganda radio broadcasts to clandestine efforts to overthrow governments.

Sen. George D. Aiken of Vermont, the second-ranked Republican on the committee, foresees "massive resistance" to its proposed in Senate Majority Leader



W. F. RABORN "The confrontation with the executive branch would be quite explosive" if the is-

sue is pressed. Aiken said. Aiken believes the CIA "doesn't get much supervision" from Congress now and thinks the vast intelligence apparatus should be watched more closely from Capitol Hill. But he says he doesn't know how he will vote on authorizing the investigation.

McCarthy soon will make the first open move when he places before the Senate a resolution authorizing the Foreign Relations Committee to investigate the CIA.

McCarthy already appears to have the strong support of Fulbright, Sen. Wayne Morse (D-Ore.) and others on the 19-member commits tee. Some informed sources believe that a majority could withstand White House pressures and vote for the inqui-

That is not yet clear, but it does seem that advocates of a more formal method of:

Spot Uneasy

dulry from the President Mike Mansfield of Montana, tive agencies.

Ising others in the executive who is in a unique and McCarting and McCarti

i les e a floor test.

Mans fold went on record ng ago in favor of closer congressional supervision of the CIA and he is the No. 3 Democrat on the Foreign Relations Committee. But he also is majority leader, and as such is expected to protect the administration's interests in the Senate.

Mansfield was not majority leader 10 years ago when he led the unsuccessful fight in the Senate to establish a joint congressional committee to oversee the CIA.

Now, publicly at least, Mansfield is playing it close to the vest. :

"I wouldn't be in a position now to make a statement." Mansfield said when asked how he would vote.

Confirming that the inrestigation was discussed by committee, Mansfield said that he has tried to "keep hands off this issue, since becoming majority leader."

For a decade or more. presidents have been able to thwart those who feel Congress has done a dangerously inadequate job of auditing the CIA - more so with respect to policy than the agency's finances.

Only once, in fact, have forcing a vote. That was intractions Committee itself 1956 on the Mansfield resolution for creating a joint watchdog committee. Mansfield lost, 59 to 27.

Voting against Mansfield was Lyndon B. Johnson, then the Senate Democratic leader. Voting for the joint committee was the late John F. Kennedy, then the junior senator from Massachusetts.

Mind Changes

Mr. Kennedy, however, changed his mind when he became president. "I am well satisfied with the present arrangements, he said in 1963.

congressional supervision of Presidents, jealous of the CIA are in a stronger their power over the CIA, position than ever before.

And many on Capitol Hill have felt that those subcommittees adequately fulfill the congressional responsi-

on discissue, may be a present system is cursory fuctor if it ever and far too informal for overseeing such a vital part! of the government.

1-01 Naharni

Moreover, they feel that with the CIA's obvious involvement in foreign policy, the foreign relations committees are best suited to perform the watchdog func-

tion. The Fulbright Commit-tee's controversial investigation of this nation's inter-! vention in the Dominican Republic revolution spring accounts, in part, for the increased agitation within the committee to take a good look at the CIA.

Fulbright and some other? members became convinced not only that American policy failed in the Dominican Republic but that it failed because the President was misled by faulty advice and intelligence.

The committee sharply on this question and not along party lines.

Fulbright's condemnation of the administration's Dominican policy also led to a substantial cooling in the personal relationship hetween him and the President.

Now Fulbright may be ready to square off again against Mr. Johnson on an issue which has broad meaning not only for the CIA but for the Foreign